

# Listening to Families

## Client Opinion of Needs

Below are the top eight needs of families, as identified by clients themselves. The percentages are based on the number of families who stated they had social services needs, and identified the particular need from the categories listed in the chart below.



Indiana		ICAP	
Emergency rent, utilities, shelter	57%	Emergency rent, utilities, shelter	66%
Food or nutrition	35%	Food or Nutrition	31%
Know more of what's available	30%	Employment Services	25%
Health-related or medical	29%	Health Related or Medical	21%
Transportation	26%	Education	19%
Other	25%	Child Care Services	17%
Housing situation improvement	24%	Transportation	17%
Education	21%	Housing Situation Improvement	16%

Source: 2007 Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) Data



## Economic Impact

Fighting poverty is not just altruistic; it is a key economic development strategy. Based on client survey data, if we could move each of ICAP's families served to at least the Federal poverty level, it would generate an additional \$33,306,871 per year in income. This money would then be spent in the community, further stimulating the local economy.



## The Promise of Community Action

Community Action changes people's lives, embodies the spirit of hope, improves communities, and makes America a better place to live. We care about the entire community, and we are dedicated to helping people help themselves and each other.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Indiana Community Action Association would like to recognize and thank groups and individuals for their support and participation with the Community Needs Assessments:

- Each of Indiana's 24 Community Actions Agencies, without their participation and resources, success on this project could not be achieved;
- The Indiana Housing and Community Development Authority, who funded these Community Needs Assessments in whole or in part with CSBG-D funds;
- The Indiana Community Action Association's Board of Directors Program Committee, who have provided valuable feedback and support on this project; and
- Special thanks are extended to Steve Hoffman with the Community Action of Northeast Indiana and Lora Olive with the Indiana Community Action Association, for their dedication to making these Community Needs Assessments a success.

For questions or to see the full Community Needs Assessment Report, please contact ICAP:

Interlocal Community Action Program, Inc.  
 PO Box 449  
 New Castle, IN 47362  
 Phone: 765.529.4403  
 Fax: 765.593.2510  
 Web Site: [www.icapcaa.org](http://www.icapcaa.org)



The Community Needs Assessments are funded in part by The Indiana Housing and Community Development Authority with CSBG-D funds.

For more information about IHEDA please visit [www.in.gov/iheda/](http://www.in.gov/iheda/).

# Community Action Agencies



laying the  
**groundwork**  
 for our  
**communities**  
 2008 Community Needs Assessment



# 2008 Community Needs Assessment

## A Research Report from Interlocal Community Action Program, Inc. (ICAP)

In order to better serve the low-income residents of the region, it is important that we maintain an up-to-date picture of who they are and what they need. To do this, the Interlocal Community Action Program, Inc. (ICAP) board and staff participated in a statewide study which was conducted by the the Indiana Community Action Network.

The research was conducted in two parts:


- Background research was conducted using secondary data available from federal, state, and local resources.
- A client survey was designed and administered directly to Hoosiers who use ICAP's services.

**In 2007, ICAP served 8,113 low-income Hoosiers.**

### Of those served...

- 64%** lived in rental housing
- 50%** were children
- 49%** of heads of households were working
- 38%** were single-parent female headed households
- 5%** had two or more years of post-secondary education
- 3%** were African-American
- 4%** were 70 years old or older
- 1%** were Hispanic/Latino

## INTERLOCAL Service Area Indiana's East Central Region



The findings are helpful to the ICAP board and staff who want to better understand ICAP's clients and their needs. ICAP is using the findings to plan new strategies and services designed to help reduce poverty.

ICAP is eager to share its findings with others who wish to serve low-income families in East Central Indiana.

The client survey respondents are a valid and reliable sample of the low-income population. This makes it possible for any community group to factor in these results when considering service for community programs and projects.

## Overcoming Poverty and Income Barriers

### Service Area Poverty

According to U.S. Census Bureau, in 2007, 6% of the ICAP's service area population, or 8,238 people, lived below the Federal Poverty Guidelines (FPG). These numbers have increased from 5%, or 6,654 people, in 2000. In 2007, the FPG for a family of four was \$20,650 a year.

### Self-Sufficiency Standard

Studies have shown that it actually takes about twice the FPG for a family to actually be economically self-sufficient. According to the 2005 Indiana Self-Sufficiency Standard, a family of four (two adults, one infant, and one preschooler) in Hancock County would need to make \$3,490 monthly or \$41,883 annually to reach economic self-sufficiency. For this same family type in the remainder of ICAP's service area, it would take the following for this family to meet economic self-sufficiency:

**Henry County**  
\$2,900 monthly or \$34,796 annually  
**Rush County**  
\$2,819 monthly or \$33,834 annually

Twenty-one percent, or 24,649, of the ICAP's service area population lived below 200% FPG in 2007.

	2007 Poverty Rate	# All Persons & Ages in Poverty, 2007	2000 Poverty Rate	# All Persons & Ages in Poverty, 2000
<b>Hancock</b>	5%	3,169	3%	1,623
<b>Henry</b>	13%	4,665	8%	3,730
<b>Rush</b>	13%	404	7%	1,301
<b>Indiana</b>	12%	755,245	10%	559,484

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

### Children in Poverty

In 2007, Indiana's child poverty rate was 17%. All counties served by ICAP were below the statewide average. The child poverty rates for the counties served by ICAP are as follows:

Hancock County 6%  
Henry County 15%  
Rush County 16%

It is estimated that 3,245 children (under the age of 18) in the ICAP service area were living in poverty in 2007.

### Working Poor

Sixty-three percent of client survey households with adults under 65 years old had at least one adult working, compared to 48% for the state as a whole.

### Single-Parent Female Households in Poverty

Sixty percent of female client survey respondents under 40 years old, who had incomes below 100% of FPG, were single-parents.

## Employment and Education

### Unemployment Rates

In 2007, the unemployment rate in the ICAP service area was 5%, making it the same as the statewide unemployment rate of 5%. However, this is an increase of 2% from 2000 for this service area.

Unemployment Rates		
Counties	2007	2000
<b>Hancock</b>	4%	2%
<b>Henry</b>	6%	4%
<b>Rush</b>	5%	3%
<b>Indiana</b>	5%	3%

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

## Other Barriers

### Renting vs Homeownership

In 2007, 61 percent of ICAP client survey respondents lived in rental units compared to 28 percent of Indiana residents who lived in rental units statewide. Whereas 27 percent of ICAP client survey respondents owned their home. This is compared to a statewide homeownership rate of 72 percent in 2007.

### Homelessness

Three percent of client survey respondents have been homeless in the last 12 months. When projecting that out to the entire ICAP service area population, it means 104 families have been homeless in the last 12 months.

### Transportation

Eighteen percent of ICAP client survey respondents did not have a car in working order. Of those who do not have a car, 66% consider this to be a barrier or problem, and 63% feel they could acquire better employment if they had a car in working order.

### Education Level and Income

Post-secondary education is becoming increasingly important to the earning potential of families. For client survey respondents 25 years old and older, without any post-secondary education, the average monthly income was \$728. Client respondents, 25 years old and older, with a two-year post-secondary degree, had an average monthly income of \$987.

### Full Time vs Part Time Employment

A key indicator of yearly household income is number of hours worked (increased hours worked having a far greater impact on overall income than an increase in hourly wage). Of those client survey respondents who were employed, nearly two-thirds (64%) worked less than 36 hours per week. When accounting for spouses, 55% worked less than 36 hours per work. These figures were similar to the statewide averages, which were 63% and 55% respectively.

### Child Support

For single-parent families, child support is a crucial income source to help these families meet their basic needs. Forty-five percent of client survey respondents were eligible to receive child support, but only one in four of those families (28%) actually received their support regularly over the last year.

### Health Insurance

Sixty-seven percent of client survey respondents who were employed, did not have private health insurance, indicating that the majority work in jobs with little to no health insurance benefits. Ten percent of survey respondents stated that neither they as individuals nor their family had private or public health insurance.